

Conducting a Delphi study is one of my hobby's. The strength of a good Delphi study is in the arguments provided by the panelists, rather than to focus solely on the percentage consensus reached on proposals. Consequently, I recommend to ask for arguments and comments after each proposals that you do. It is not obligatory to provide arguments. However, these arguments enable you to do better proposals the next round, and to better understand the panelists' opinions, and have an discussion.

I would like to share documents of two Delphi studies, as examples for you when preparing or conducting a Delphi study.

Mokkink et al. [COSMIN Risk of Bias tool to assess the quality of studies on reliability and measurement error of outcome measurement instruments: a Delphi study](#)

The rounds are available at:
<https://osf.io/6fnw3/>

Haven et al. [Preregistering Qualitative Research: A Delphi Study](#)

The rounds are available at:
<https://osf.io/z867s/>